





Conference – 28 & 29 October 2010

"Reinforcing the protection of the euro: a shared responsibility"

Ewa Klima

Commission - DG ECFIN







§ The background:

Euro - a single currency but different interpretations of what its *legal tender* means:

- 1. The Treaty and the 'euro Regulation' only set broad principles
- 2. Given the diversity of the pre-euro legal traditions, the concrete effects of legal tender for citizens may still differ across countries
- 3. The need for EU action





1. The *legal tender* of the euro in the EU legislation : broad principles

- § Since the adoption of the euro, the *legal tender* status of euro banknotes and coins is a matter of EU law
- § Yet the Treaty lays down very broad principles
 - § Art. 128 attributes the legal tender status exclusively to euro banknotes issued by the ECB and the NCBs...
 - § ...but is silent on the practical consequences of this status
 - § The legal tender of euro coins is not explicitly mentioned
- § The 'euro Regulation' (May 1998):
 - § Art. 10 : mentions the legal tender status of euro banknotes
 - § Art. 11 : mentions the legal tender status of euro coins
 - § ...But does not give any detailed definition







2. The diversity of pre-euro <u>legal traditions</u> : a few examples



- § Refusing cash on a permanent basis is a criminal offense in some countries
- § It is perfectly accepted in others as long as the client is clearly informed in advance (through signs in shops, for example)
- § In one case, prior information of the client is not even necessary
- ⇒ Two main schools of thought in the euro area (legal tender vs contractual freedom prevalence)
- § Mutilation/destruction of banknotes and coins by individuals
 - § Prohibited/sanctioned in some MS
 - § Perfectly licit in others
- ⇒ Two different underlying philosophies (banknotes and coins :public good vs private property)



3. The need for EU action

- § The diversity of situations across Member States is not a satisfactory situation for a single currency
 - § It creates a situation of legal uncertainty: there should be one single regime for the single currency
 - § It is a source of confusion for the citizen willing to pay with euro cash in the euro area (no certainty on his rights when travelling abroad)
 - § It is an issue from the point of view of consumer protection













3. The need for EU action



- § The content of the Commission Recommendation of 22.3.2010 on the scope and effects of legal tender of euro banknotes and coins:
 - § The Recommendation endorses the main conclusions of a joint Commission/ECB expert group
 - § It includes the 10 guiding principles
 - § And the review clause







The recommendation endorses the main conclusions of a joint Commission/ECB expert group

- § A joint initiative : the euro legal tender expert group (ELTEG)
- § Composition of ELTEG: Ministries of finance and NCBs of the euro area, group co-chaired by the Commission and the ECB (Mint Director Working Group invited as observer)
- § The mandate :
 - § "Gather all the different national interpretations of the concept of legal tender"
 - § "analyze the issues and try to agree on a common definition and interpretation"
- § A one year work (7 meetings in 2009)
- § The main conclusions reached by the Group were endorsed by the Recommendation adopted on 22 March 2010







The 10 principles from the Commission Recommendation:



- § The recommendation gives guidance to the European citizen willing to pay with euro cash in the euro area
- § Beyond the general acceptance of euro banknotes and coins, it addresses many aspects of the legal tender status (destruction, use for artistic purpose, stained banknotes, rounding schemes, collector coins...)
- § The main message is that payments in cash should generally be accepted unless for good faith reasons







Where a payment obligation exists, the legal tender of euro banknotes and coins should imply:

- (a) Mandatory acceptance: The creditor of a payment obligation cannot refuse euro banknotes and coins unless the parties have agreed on other means of payment.
- (b) Acceptance at full face value: The monetary value of euro banknotes and coins is equal to the amount indicated on the banknotes and coins.
- (c) Power to discharge from payment obligations: A debtor can discharge himself from a payment obligation by tendering euro banknotes and coins to the creditor.







2. Acceptance of payments in euro banknotes and coins in retail transactions



The acceptance of euro banknotes and coins as means of payments in retail transactions should be the rule.

A refusal thereof should be possible only if grounded on reasons related to the 'good faith principle' (for example the retailer has no change available).





3. Acceptance of high denomination banknotes in retail transactions



High denomination banknotes should be accepted as means of payment in retail transactions.

A refusal thereof should be possible only if grounded on reasons related to the 'good faith principle' (for example the face value of the banknote tendered is disproportionate compared to the amount owed to the creditor of the payment).





4. Absence of surcharges imposed in the use of euro banknotes and coins



No surcharges should be imposed on payments with euro banknotes and coins.





5. Euro banknotes stained by Intelligent Banknote Neutralisation systems (IBNS)



Even if euro banknotes stained with security ink by Intelligent Banknote Neutralisation Systems (IBNS) are legal tender, Member States should communicate actively towards stakeholders (banks, retailers, general public) that stained banknotes must be brought back to National Central Banks as it is very likely that they are the product of a theft.





6. Total destruction of issued notes and coins by individuals



Member States should neither prohibit nor penalise total destruction of small quantities of euro banknotes or coins by individuals.

They should however prohibit unauthorized destruction of large quantities of euro banknotes or coins.







7. Mutilation of notes and coins for artistic purposes

Member States should not encourage mutilation of euro banknotes or coins for artistic purposes but should tolerate it.

Such mutilated banknotes or coins should be considered as unfit for circulation.





8. Competence to decide on the destruction of fit euro circulation coins



The decision to destroy fit euro circulation coins should not belong to any national authority in isolation.

Prior to the destruction of fit euro circulation coins, the national competent authority should consult the Euro Coin Sub-Committee of the Economic and Financial Committee and inform the Mint Directors Working Group.





9. Legal tender of 1 and 2 euro cent coins and rounding rules

In Member States where rounding regimes have been adopted and prices consequently rounded to the nearest five cents, 1 and 2 euro cent coins should remain legal tender and should continue to be accepted as means of payments.

Member States should however refrain from adopting new rounding rules since they affect negatively the power to discharge from a payment obligation by tendering the exact amount owed and since it may lead in some circumstances to a surcharge on cash payments.









Member States should take all measures deemed appropriate to prevent euro collector coins from being used as means of payments (for example special packaging, clear communication, use of precious metal, sale prices above face value).







The Commission will review the implementation of this recommendation three years after its adoption and assess whether regulatory measures are needed.









Conclusion

§ Implementation will be closely monitored by the Commission

§ Important step forward towards a single regime for the single currency







Thank you for your attention

Further information can be found on:

http://wcmcom-ec-europa-eu

wip.wcmvue.cec.eu.int:8080/economy_finance/articles/euro/2010-03-22-

legal-tender-euro_en.htm